

**REMARKS**


This is in response to the Office Action that was mailed on July 13, 2006. Claim 1 is amended based upon disclosure in the paragraph bridging pages 5-6 of the specification. No new matter is introduced by this Amendment. Claims 1-9 are pending in the application.

Claims 1-6 were rejected under the first paragraph of 35 USC § 112. The Examiner objected to the language "a solid silicone resin". That language has been replaced by language which more particularly defines the intended organopolysiloxane copolymer resins. The Examiner also requested clarification of the disclosure that the silicone adhesive layer is "incompatible" with the die bonding layer. The term "incompatible" as employed by Applicants in that context means "inert to" or "non-reactive with". The term "incompatible" – although it is believed to be technically accurate – would be readily understood by persons skilled in the art reading Applicants' specification as meaning "non-reactive with". It is believed that this clarification elucidates the record. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims in their present form satisfy the requirements of the statute.

If there are any questions, the Examiner is requested to contact Richard Gallagher, Registration No. 28,781, at (703) 205-8008.

Dated: October 11, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By  #28,781  
Gerald M. Murphy, Jr.  
Registration No.: 28,977  
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP  
8110 Gatehouse Road  
Suite 100 East  
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747  
(703) 205-8000  
Attorney for Applicant